

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested for the following reasons:

1. Objections to Abstract and Specification

Although the Examiner did not specify a line or the nature of the error, it believed that correction of the typographic error in line 23 on page 17 of the specification overcomes the error referred-to in item 3 on page 2 of the Official Action.

In addition, the abstract has been shortened to between 50 and 150 words, as required in item 1 on page 2.

2. Rejection of Claims 5-10, 19, 21, 22, and 24 Under 35 USC §112, 2nd Paragraph

This rejection has been addressed by amending claims 5-10, 19, 21, 22, and 24 to correct each of the antecedence errors noted in item 6 on pages 3-4 of the Official Action, and by deleting "or the similar error function" from claim 5.

In addition, the remaining claims have been revised to place them in proper U.S. format, and to correct various formal errors, without changing the scope of the claims.

Having thus overcome the sole rejection made in the Official Action, and in view of the indicated allowability of claims 1-24, withdrawal of the rejection and expedited passage of the application to issue is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC

By:  BENJAMIN E. URCIA
Registration No. 33,805

Date: June 8, 2005

Serial Number 09/726,538

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC
625 Slaters Lane, 4th Floor
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Telephone: (703) 683-0500

NWB:S:\Producer\ben\Pending L...PWKJIM 726538fw01.wpd